

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,722	10/31/2003	Peter Van Voris	TMG 2-001-3-3	1568	
266 MUELLER A	7590 07/28/200 ND SMITH, LPA	EXAMINER			
MUELLER-S	MITH BUILDING	LEVY, NEIL S			
7700 RIVERS COLUMBUS.	EDGE DRIVE OH 43235	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1615			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	1						
Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/698,722	VORIS ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	NEIL LEVY	1615					

	NEIL LEVY		1615	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the co	rrespondence addi	ress
THE F	REPLY FILED 24 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALI	LOWANCE.	
- a	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a No application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in com for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must to periods:	affidavit, pliance w	or other evidence, w ith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) [ne mailing o	date of the final rejectio	n.
nave bounder Set fort may re-	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 GFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 GFR 1.136(a) and the period of extension and the corresponding 37 GFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for ret in in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the miculace any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	amount of eply origina	the fee. The appropria	ite extension fee action; or (2) as
2. 🔲 - f I	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 in filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37 Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set fort	7(e)), to a	void dismissal of the	
	NDMENTS			
(The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (s (b)) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):			cause
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate	rially redu	icing or simplifying th	e issues for
((d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fir NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nally rejec	ted claims.	
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	Non-Com	pliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a sernon-allowable claim(s).	parate, tin	nely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. 🛛 !	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\bigcup \) will not be entered, or b how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \(\bigcup \).)⊠ will b	pe entered and an ex	planation of
(Claim(s) objected to:			
AFFID	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
_ t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of fil because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
_ (The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prio entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections und showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier preser	er appeal	and/or appellant fails	to provide a
] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	after enti	ry is below or attache	ed.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applic See Continuation Sheet.	cation in c	condition for allowand	ce because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). Other:			
_				

/NEIL LEVY/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1615 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive: Kodama applies compositions to soil under structures to protect structures, buildings, but ALISO to building unless 33-35; plywood, & to furniture (col. 4, lines 16-19). Instant claim 1 application is to a structure that is identified in dependent claims 20 & 25, as WOOD.

At Van Voris, pellets of carbon black, or clay, & pesticide & polymedr (col. 9, top) are pre-pared & THEN incorporated into a polymethane foam, which is then applied (sprayed) onto a surface (col. 9, bittom). This procedure is exactly that called for in claim 1, except for use of or the Knudson/ Beall exfoliated nanoclay. Col. 6, botom also shows mixing insecticide & carbon black, then mixed with polurrethane (col. 7, lines 6-9).

The wonderful advantages attorney argues for are are beyhond the scope of the claimed invention, which are obvious barriers evident to the artisan to prepare, given the prior art.